



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,695	11/18/2003	Highland Mary Mountain	110466-153433	6615

31817 7590 12/15/2009
SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.
PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900
1211 S.W. FIFTH AVE.
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

ZHE, MENG YAO

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2195

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/15/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/716,695	Applicant(s) MOUNTAIN ET AL.	
	Examiner MENG YAO ZHE	Art Unit 2195	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 September 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 11-13, 30-35, 37-48 and 51-53 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 11-13, 30-35, 37-48, 51-53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8, 11-13, 30-35, 37-48, 51-53 are presented for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/28/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-8, 11-13, 30-35, 37-48, 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lection et al., Pub No. 2005/009165 (hereafter Lection) in view of Hunt, Patent No., 6,983,463 (hereafter Hunt).
4. Lection was cited in the previous office action.

Art Unit: 2195

5. As per claims 1, 31, 41, Lektion teaches a method comprising:

Determining, by a computing device, the configuration of a system of resources
(Para 10);

Determining, by the computing device, the processing requirements of an
application running on the system
of resources (Para 31, 32);

Analyzing, by the computing device, the determined configuration and
requirements in order to attempt to optimize the performance of the application (Para
36);

Generating, by the computing device, optimization suggestions from the analysis
(Para 41);

dynamically causing, by the computing device, applying of the optimization
suggestions (Para 41),

a static application characterization database storing information regarding fixed
characteristics of the application (Para 22).

Lektion does not specifically teach a dynamic application characterization
database storing information regarding mutable characteristic of the application,
wherein the static application characterization database is included with the dynamic
application characterization database.

However, Hunt teaches a dynamic application characterization database storing information regarding mutable characteristic of the application, wherein the static application characterization database is included with the dynamic application characterization database (Abstract; Column 18, line 40-Column 19, line 30) for the purpose of optimizing application performances.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Lektion with a dynamic application characterization database storing information regarding mutable characteristic of the application, wherein the static application characterization database is included with the dynamic application characterization database, as taught by Hunt, because it helps to optimize application performances.

6. As per claims 2, 42, Lektion teaches wherein dynamically applying the optimization suggestions includes: dynamically allocating resources to the execution of and interaction with the application; dynamically utilizing acceleration tools (Para 41).

7. As per claims 3, 33, 43, Lektion teaches wherein dynamically utilizing acceleration tools includes utilizing tools selected from a group including:
primitive performance libraries (Para 35);
managed runtime optimization settings (Para 38);
reordering portions of application execution (Para 31).

Art Unit: 2195

8. As per claims 4, 44, Lektion teaches wherein determining the configuration of a system of resources includes utilizing a device and environment characterization database (Para 36).

9. As per claims 5, 45, Lektion teaches wherein the device database includes information regarding the types of resources in the system of resources and information regarding the physical capabilities of these resources (Fig 3, units 45, 47; Para 29).

10. As per claims 6, 34, 46, Lektion teaches wherein the environment database includes information regarding the configuration, substantially current status, and substantially current capacity of the resources within the system of resources (Para 32).

11. As per claim 32, Lektion teaches predicting application performance after applying the suggested optimizations (Para 41);
monitoring the actual application performance to generate empirical data (Para 39);
comparing the actual application performance to the predicted performance (Para 39);
performing the method of claim 1, and utilizing the empirical data when analyzing the determined configuration and requirements in order to attempt to optimize the performance of the application (Para 36).

12. As per claim 30, Lektion teaches a system comprising:

Art Unit: 2195

a distributed application (Para 31);

a system of resources capable of executing the distributed application (Fig 3; Para 31);

a Content & Context Sensitive Accelerator capable of attempting to optimize the performance of the distributed application (Para 34);

a Device & Environment Database capable of providing information to the Content & Context Sensitive Accelerator about the system of resources (Fig 2, unit 16);

an Application Characterization Database capable of providing information to the Content & Context Sensitive Accelerator about the distributed application (Para 36);

unmanaged system software capable of utilizing and the system of resources (Para 31).

Hunt teaches a dynamic application characterization database storing information regarding mutable characteristic of the application, wherein the static application characterization database is included with the dynamic application characterization database (Abstract; Column 18, line 40-Column 19, line 30)

13. As per claims 7, 47, Lektion does not specifically teach wherein device and environment characterization database is incrementally generated as each of the resources of the system of resources is powered-on.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of resource tracking to update the database tracking resource records only when the

Art Unit: 2195

resource is powered on, since otherwise, the powered off resource will have no way of contacting the system and therefore the system can not know the existence of these powered off resources.

14. As per claims 8, 35, 39, 40, 48, Lektion teaches wherein the device and environment characterization database is dynamically generated utilizing a service including determining availability of resources (Para 32).

Lektion does not specifically teach collecting data from sensors coupled with the resources; analyzing the data collected; inferring an execution context characterization; estimating the capacity of each resource; and updating the device and environment characterization database.

However, in order to determine the availability of resources, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of resource detection to follow the steps of collecting data from sensors coupled with the resources; analyzing the data collected; inferring an execution context characterization; estimating the capacity of each resource; and updating the device and environment characterization database, since these steps are essential to any methods involving resource calculations.

15. As per claims 11, 37, 51, Lektion does not specifically teach wherein the static application characterization database is generated utilizing:

determining, by the application's compile time, the data types utilized by the application; determining, by the application's compile time, the frequency of the usage of the data types; determining, by the application's compile time, the resource required by the application; updating the static application characterization database with the determined information.

However, since program analysis and optimization including steps mentioned above are commonly performed at the time of the program's compilation, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the steps above be done at compile time for the purpose of making sure that the application will have all its needs met before it is sent out to be executed.

16. As per claims 12, 38, 52, Lektion teaches wherein the dynamic application characterization database is generated utilizing:

reading the static application characterization database (Para 36);

collecting runtime application data usage (Para 39)

analyzing application usage and identifying resource usage bottlenecks (Para 43);

updating the dynamic application characterization database (Para 39).

17. As per claims 13, 53, Lektion teaches predicting application performance after applying the suggested optimizations (Para 41);

monitoring the actual application performance to generate empirical data (Para 39);

comparing the actual application performance to the predicted performance (Para 39);

performing the method of claim 1, and utilizing the empirical data when

Art Unit: 2195

analyzing the determined configuration and requirements in order to attempt to optimize the performance of the application (Para 36).

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 11-13, 30-35, 37-48, 51-53 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MENGYAO ZHE whose telephone number is (571)272-6946. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Friday, 7:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 571-272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2195

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

/MengYao Zhe/